

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,192	12/20/2001	Yehiel Gotkis	LAM2P314	. 5398
25920	7590 04/10/2003			
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP			EXAM	INER
710 LAKEW SUITE 170	AY DRIVE		VINH, LAN	
SUNNYVAL	LE, CA 94085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	····································
			DATE MAIL CD. 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		HO
	Application No.	icant(s)
	10/029,192	GOTKIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Lan Vinh	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication		with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of t eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 December 2001 .	
	This action is non-final.	
2) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur  Disposition of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received i	n Application No
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li></ul>	ial Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		
a) The translation of the foreign languages	ge provisional application ha	s been received.
		<del>-</del> -
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interv	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
<ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I</li> </ul>	48) 5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
		·

Application/Control Number: 10/029,192

Art Unit: 1765

## Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.
  - Claims 1-9, 17-20, drawn to an apparatus for CMP, classified in class 156, ١. subclass 345.
  - Claims 10-16, drawn to a method for planarizing a wafer, classified in 11. class 438, subclass 691.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The 2. inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a process to polish glass substrate.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have 3. acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- A telephone call was made to Joe Brock on 4/4/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 703 305-6302. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9310 for regular communications and 703 872-9311 for After Final communications.

LV

April 7, 2003